Editors’ Note

Referring to Teufelsdröckh’s volume on clothes, the Editor in Sartor Resartus describes it as a “mad banquet, wherein all courses had been confounded, and fish and flesh, soup and solid, oyster-sauce, lettuces, Rhine-wine and French mustard, were hurled into one huge tureen or trough, and the hungry Public invited to help itself.” Number 28 of the Carlyle Studies Annual presents the appearance of a similar kind of “mad banquet,” with an ambitious range of overlapping topics “hurled into one huge tureen.” In an illuminating essay, Francis O’Gorman focuses on the dangers of re-reading intellectual history in the light of present concerns, and of violating historical understanding by the loose application of “influence.” Dinah Birch reflects on the meaning of “value” in Victorian culture and society, and prompts a new understanding of the word by exploring its complex associations with religious conceptions of feeling and sympathy. In a tribute to Norman Hampson, one of the great interpreters of the French Revolution in the twentieth century, Owen Dudley Edwards reminds readers of the uniquely humane and skeptical qualities that Hampson brought to the study of the past—qualities that link him directly to Carlyle. An unpublished letter from Hampson to David R. Sorensen, included in a postscript to Edwards’s essay, offers vivid confirmation of Hampson’s droll incisiveness as a thinker. Ian Campbell sheds new light on the Rev. J. A. S. Barrett of Peebles, whose friendship with Alexander Carlyle is charted through a meticulous study of previously unpublished letters and papers. Campbell’s assessment of Barrett’s importance is confirmed by the inclusion of five previously unpublished Carlyle manuscript fragments that were given to Isaac W. Dyer by Alexander Carlyle’s executors at the behest of Barrett. Taking an unusual approach to Carlyle’s Reminiscences, Chene Heady demonstrates how the book’s defiant
tome and texture were shaped in response to the popular reception that greeted the author’s rectorial address in Edinburgh in 1866. Clayton Carlyle Tarr, uninhibited by questions of influence, makes a convincing case for reading Louisa May Alcott’s work, especially *Little Women*, in relation to her evolving attitudes to Carlyle. In the section “From the Past,” David R. Sorensen introduces James Fitzjames Stephen’s remarkable 1865 essay in *Fraser’s*, in which he attempts to reconcile Carlyle’s doctrines with Benthamite liberalism. In “Miscellanies,” David Southern transcribes nine new Carlyle holographs from the Montague Collection at the New York Public Library. The “mad banquet” is rounded off with three reviews: David McAllister on volumes 38 and 39 of the *Collected Letters*; Francis O’Gorman on Lars Spuybroek’s startling new study, *The Sympathy of Things: Ruskin and the Ecology of Design*; and Brent E. Kinser on O’Gorman’s landmark Oxford World’s Classic edition of Ruskin’s *Praeterita*.

The year 2012 marked the publication of volume 40 of the *Collected Letters of Thomas and Jane Welsh Carlyle* (Duke UP), ed. Ian Campbell, Aileen Christianson, David R. Sorensen et al., introduced by Jane Roberts, and *On Heroes, Hero-Worship, and the Heroic in History*, ed. Sorensen and Kinser (Yale UP, Rethinking the Western Tradition Series), introduced by Sorensen, with essays by Sara Atwood, Owen Dudley Edwards, Christopher Harvie, Kinser, Terence James Reed, Sorensen, and Beverly Taylor. Three more volumes of the Carlyle Letters (volumes 36–38 of the *CL*) were published in the *Carlyle Letters Online* (ed. Kinser). In addition, thanks to a generous grant from the Delmas Foundation, hyperlinks have been inserted in the *CLO* to enable its readers to interact with the *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*. In July the National Endowment of the Humanities awarded the Carlyle Letters Project a three-year grant totaling $270,000 to assist with the publication of volumes 41–43. Other recent important scholarly studies of the Carlyles and their circle include Edward Adams, *Liberal Epic: The Victorian Practice of History from Gibbon to Churchill* (U of Virginia P, 2011); Juliette Atkinson, *Victorian Biography Reconsidered: A Study of Nineteenth-Century ‘Hidden Lives’* (Oxford UP, 2010); Ciaran Brady, *Froude: An Intellectual Biography of a Victorian Prophet* (Oxford UP, 2013); Ian Campbell, “Our Hero?” *American Interest* 7:5 (May 2012): 90–97; Joshua Eleoma, “Thomas Carlyle’s Death Mask Revisited,”
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The relentless imperatives of the “dismal science” continue to challenge the editors of this journal. We are especially grateful to the following individuals at Saint Joseph’s University for their generous financial support and continued encouragement: President Rev. C. Kevin Gillespie, S.J.; Provost Dr. Brice Wachterhauser; and Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, Dr. William Madges. Thanks are also due to Carmen Croce and Rev. Joseph F. Chorpenning, OSFS, for their invaluable advice and guidance. At Western Carolina University, profound thanks are due to Richard Starnes, Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, and to Laura Wright, Chair of the Department of English, both for their friendship and their supportive advocacy. As always, we reserve deepest thanks, appreciation, and gratitude for our indefatigable friend and beloved colleague David Southern at the Duke University Press, who bears herculean burdens with fortitude, professionalism, and Carlylean humor.